

REMARK

At the outset, the Examiner is thanked for the thorough review and consideration of the pending application. The Office Action dated April 24, 2008 has been received and its contents carefully reviewed.

Claims 12, 13 and 16 are hereby amended; claims 14 and 15 are currently cancelled; and claims 1-11 are withdrawn from consideration. Accordingly, claims 12, 13, and 16 are pending. Reexamination and reconsideration of the claims is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, claims 13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Publication No. 2001/0013920 to Hashimoto et al. (hereinafter "Hashimoto") and Japanese Patent Publication No. 60-003609 to Hirokazu (hereinafter "Hirokazu").

The rejection of claims 13 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is respectfully traversed and reconsideration is requested. Because Applicants have amended claims 13 and 16, Applicant respectfully submits that this rejection is traversed.

The rejection of claims 12-16 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto and Hirokazu is respectfully traversed and reconsideration is requested.

Claim 12 is allowable in that it recites "wherein the number of the syringes corresponds to the number of the lines or the column of the image display parts and all the syringes are operated in same time so that each syringe dispenses the material onto the corresponding image display parts." None of the cited references, singly or in combination, teaches or suggests at least these features of the claimed invention.

In the claimed invention, the number of the syringes corresponds to the line or column of the image display parts so that each syringe dispenses the material onto the image display parts

corresponding to the syringe. Further, in the claimed invention, a plurality of syringes are simultaneously driven to dispense the material onto the image display parts.

On the contrary to the claimed invention, as shown in Fig. 14 of Hashimoto, Hashimoto merely discloses a liquid crystal dispenser moving by an x-y robot to dispense the liquid crystal material onto a substrate. Further, as shown in Fig 2, Hirokazu merely disclosed a substrate including a plurality of panels having different sizes. That is, in Hashimoto and Hirokazu, the syringes corresponding to the line or column of the image display parts are not disclosed and the simultaneous operation of a plurality of the syringes is not disclosed.

Thus, Hashimoto and Hirokazu do not teach or suggest at least “wherein the number of the syringes corresponds to the number of the lines or the column of the image display parts and all the syringes are operated in same time so that each syringe dispenses the material onto the corresponding image display parts.”

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 12 and claims 13 and 16, which depend therefrom 12, are allowable over the cited references.

Because the rejected claims 14-15 are cancelled, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection of claims 14-15.

Applicants believe the foregoing amendments place the application in condition for allowance and early, favorable action is respectfully solicited.

If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at (202) 496-7500 to discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance. All correspondence should continue to be sent to the below-listed address.

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. §1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911.

Application No.: 10/727,570
Amdt. dated August 21, 2008
Reply to Office Action dated April 24, 2008

Docket No.: 8734.268 US

Please credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911.

Dated: August 20, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Valerie P. Hayes

Registration No.: 53,005

McKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP

1900 K Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20006

(202) 496-7500

Attorneys for Applicant